UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,111	01/10/2006	John George Beatson	P2790/324572	8902
JOHN S. PRAT	7590 08/11/200 T. ESO	EXAMINER		
	STOCKTON, LLP		FUQUA, SHAWNTINA T	
ATLANTA, GA	:=		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3742	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		10/564,111	BEATSON, JOHI	BEATSON, JOHN GEORGE			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		SHAWNTINA FUQUA					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication in Reply	appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence a	ddress			
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to receive the provision of the provisio	DATE OF THIS COMM 1.1.136(a). In no event, however, n iod will apply and will expire SIX (6 tute, cause the application to beco	IUNICATION. nay a reply be timely filed i) MONTHS from the mailing date of this one ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
	Posponsivo to communication(s) filed on 1:	1 Fobruary 2008					
·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{11}$ This action is FINAL . 2b) \square T	This action is non-final.					
3)□	/ —		matters prosecution as to th	e merits is			
٥/١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dia	·	,, pante quayre, 1000					
·	on of Claims						
,	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
′=	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· —	Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-9 and 18</u> is/are rejected.						
7) 🖂	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5 and 10-17</u> is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requiremen	t.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
-	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 February 2008</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur see the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received ents have been received riority documents have be eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	l. I in Application No Deen received in this Nationa	l Stage			
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 2/11/08, 4/8/08.	Pape 5) Notice	view Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date ee of Informal Patent Application r:				

Application/Control Number: 10/564,111 Page 2

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 6-9, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Andersen (US3964943) in view of Polese et al (US6250127).

Andersen discloses a SiC strip heating element comprising a heating section (2), strip is non-hollow (Figures 1a-d), strip formed cold ends (3, 4), strip has a planar portion and a bent portion (Figure 2), strip is U-shaped (Figure 2), and strip has a curve in cross section (Figure 2).

Andersen does not disclose an extruded strip with a cross sectional aspect ratio greater than 3:1, 5:1, 10:1, or 12:1. Polese et al discloses an extruded strip with a cross sectional aspect ratio greater than 3:1, 5:1, 10:1, or 12:1 (column 7, lines 7-14). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included the cross sectional aspect ratio of Polese et al in the heater of Andersen because, a cross sectional aspect ratio allows structure having low density and adjustable thermal expansion to be manufactured for use in electronic microcircuit components.

Application/Control Number: 10/564,111 Page 3

Art Unit: 3742

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 5, 10-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 2/11/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's argument that no prima facie case of obviousness exits, the test for obviousness is not whether the features of a secondary reference may be bodily incorporated into the structure of the primary reference; nor is it that the claimed invention must be expressly suggested in any one or all of the references. Rather, the test is what the combined teachings of the references would have suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPO 871 (CCPA 1981).

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SHAWNTINA FUQUA whose telephone number is (571)272-4779. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8-4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tu Hoang can be reached on (571) 272-4780. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/564,111 Page 4

Art Unit: 3742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

stf May 26, 2008 /Shawntina Fuqua/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3742